



BRITISH CANOEING

# Slalom Committee

## Eighteenth

*Annual Consultative Meeting*

27<sup>th</sup> November 2021

Commencing at 10:00

Virtual Meeting Via Zoom

[Meeting link](#)

Meeting ID: 873 9518 0238

Passcode: 523338



# Agenda



## 1 Welcome by the Chair

- 1.1 Apologies
- 1.2 Conduct of meeting

*A description of how the meeting will be held, and the rules for an online annual consultative meeting*

## 2 Minutes

- 2.1 17<sup>th</sup> Annual Consultative Meeting (to be accepted)
- 2.2 2020/2021 Committee Meetings (tabled for information)

## 3 Reports

- 3.1 Chair's Report
- 3.2 Treasurer's Report
- 3.3 Other Reports

### 3.3.1 *Reports from Co-ordinators (Tabled, reviewed en bloc)*

Coaching (Les Ford), Digital & Data Strategy (Dee Lindesay), Participation (Karen Crowhurst), Safety / Competition Management (Peter Curry), Development (Mike Mitchell), Technical / Timing (Andy Grudzinski)

### 3.3.2 *British Canoeing and Home Nations (Tabled, reviewed en bloc)*

British Canoeing (Richard Ramsdale), England (Dave Spencer), Northern Ireland (Kiran Dews), Scotland (Fraser Glasgow), Wales (Mark Abbott)

### 3.3.3 *Athlete Representative (Martyn Setchell)*

## 4 Elections

- 4.1 Vice Chair

Andy Grudzinski has been proposed and seconded by the Slalom Committee. In the absence of any other nominations, he will be elected unopposed.



## 4.2 Secretary

There have been no nominations. Nominations will be accepted until the election (Terms of Reference 5.4.c).

## 4.3 Committee Members

**Term Expiring 2021:** Dee Lindesay (Digital / Data Strategy), Andy Grudzinski (Technical / Timing), Peter Curry (Safety / Competition Management). Dee Lindesay and Peter Curry have indicated their willingness to stand for a further term. Andy Grudzinski will move to Vice Chair as per 4.1 above. Further nominations are sought.

**Term Expiring 2022:** Les Ford (Coaching), Karen Crowhurst (Participation), Mike Mitchell (Development),

## 4.4 Other Officials (normally elected 'en bloc')

Managed Calendar Officer	<i>Nominations sought</i>		
Ranking Status Officer	Nick Penfold	Entry Cards Officer	Les Ford
<b>Ranking Officers</b>			
K1 Men Premier	Tracy Wells	C1 Men Premier & 1	Carole McGranachan
K1 Men Division 1	Nick Penfold	C1 Men Divisions 2 & 3	Nigel Evans
K1 Men Division 2	Craig Douglas	C1 Women Premier & 1	Sally Atkinson
K1 Men Division 3	Karen Crowhurst	C1 Women Divisions 2 & 3	Donna Hawkins
K1 Men Division 4	James Hastings	Canadian Doubles	Stuart Meakins
K1 Women Premier & 1	Sally Atkinson	Veterans All Categories	Paul Mew
K1 Women Divisions 2 & 3	Donna Hawkins	Officials Compiler	Amanda Woodgate
		Annual Prize Co-ordination	Fiona Pennie

# 5 Awards

## 5.1 Ed Ecclestone award

Nominees:

- Mike Mitchell
- Mark Abbott
- Mike Carter
- Sally Atkinson
- Dee Lindesay
- Duncan Berriman

The award has been decided by the previous five winners and will be announced at the ACM.

## 5.2 National Ranking Prizes

The National Prizes will be announced. Arrangement will be made separately for the winners to receive their prizes.

Thanks were offered to Fiona Pennie, who has taken on the responsibility of gathering, maintaining and making the National Prizes available for presentation.

# 6 Motions

Unless specifically listed, the motions below are proposed by the committee. Wording in *Italics* are for explanation and do not form part of the motion. **Bold Underlined** text is to be added to rules; **~~Struck through~~** text is to be deleted. Where page numbers are quoted this refers to the 2020 Slalom Yearbook

Where a motion affects the Terms of Reference it requires a 2/3rds simple majority then approval by the British Canoeing Board (9.7 page 205)



Where a motion is marked 75/25 it will be handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. If the motion receives 75% support it will be immediately adopted, if it fails to receive 25% support it is immediately lost. If the motion gains support of between 25% and 75% it will be debated. Terms of Reference 8.8 page 205.

## 6.1 Inquorate Division 4 Races

75/25

*At present if a competitor at quorate Division 4 would do well enough against the Division 3 competitors on the same course they can be automatically promoted. The current wording does not allow this promotion of the Division 4 event is inquorate if read strictly. The wording below is intended to correct this position* Page 69 (KC)

### 6.6.3.a Promotion from ~~Quorate~~ Division 4 competitions (See 1.4)

All **Quorate** Events: 1 in 5 (or part thereof).

~~OR if their score is better than that of an athlete awarded more than 100 points in the corresponding division 3 event.~~

If an Event is not quorate, then athletes are promoted if their score would have gained promotion when compared to another quorate event as follows:

MC1 / KW1: if they would have been promoted in the MK1 event.

WC1: if they would have been promoted in either the WK1 or MK1 event.

**In all cases if the athletes score is better than that of an athlete awarded more than 100 points in the corresponding division 3 event they will be promoted.**

In all cases where two, or more, athletes are tied on best run scores for the last promotion place from Division 4 then all such athletes are promoted.

## 6.2 Veterans Points

(75/25)

*Following discussion at early ACMs, and input from those ranked as veteran, there has been a trial of changed veterans points in parallel to the ranking system during this season.*

*The revised system appears to better reflect the abilities of the athletes, so it is proposed to adopt this.*

*In addition, the increasing number of athletes paddling as veterans is straining the supplies of bibs, and making it harder to separate the events within the single division. It is therefore proposed that the veterans divisional bibs, and ranking are separated by event rather than remaining in a single ranking list. Bibs from previous international events are available to enable this to be undertaken without cost to the committee.* Page 54ff (MM)

**Note:** *in drafting these changes it was noted that in some cases the cross references in the rules have become disconnected. An exercise will be undertaken to check and correct all cross references before the 2021/2 rules are issued.*

2.9.1 The divisional system of five divisions (Premier, 1, 2, 3 and 4) in each of the four events (MK1, WK1, WC1 and MC1) and one division in the C2 event ensures that there is a standard of competition suitable for athletes of all degrees of ability. In addition, there is a Veterans **division in each of the four events (MK1, WK1, WC1 and MC1)** and a competition series for Officials.

...

### 2.10.3 Difficulty factor

**To reflect the degree of difficult for Veterans racing in competitions hosting higher divisions, the points awarded will be increased by a difficulty factor. The points calculated under 2.10.3.b -d will be increased to by:**

**- Division 2 event points calculated will be increased by 125 points**

**- Division 1 event points calculated will be increased by 250 points**

**- Premier event points calculated will be increased by 500 points**

### 2.10.4~~3~~ Calculating points – Quorate Divisional Event



~~2.10.43a~~ — ~~If a corresponding Divisional event is quorate (see Rule 1.4) the following calculations are applicable:~~ Ranking points are obtained by comparing scores with the corresponding divisional event. Where a Veteran's score does not correspond exactly with a score in the divisional event points will be awarded for the next place ~~higher lower~~. If the Veteran's score matches the score of more than one athlete in the corresponding divisional event, then the points awarded to the higher placed divisional athlete will be used. If the above calculation fails to match to a host division score then the Veteran will be awarded points according to 2.10.4.d.

...

~~2.10.34.c3~~ In the case of a competition hosting more than one Division, scores will be compared with every Division in the same event and the highest points found will be awarded, for instance, at a Division 2/3 race, a WK1 Veteran's score will be compared with those of WK1 in both Division 2 and Division 3 and the higher score so found will be awarded.

**2.10.4.d Where a Veterans score is lower than any host division score the the Veteran will be awarded the points allocated to the points below. No difficulty factor will be applied:**

**› Division 3 -10 points**

**› Division 2 -20 points**

**› Division 1 -30 points**

**› Premier -40 Points**

**2.10.45 Calculating points – Inquorate Divisional Event**

~~2.10.45.a~~ — ~~If the corresponding divisional event is inquorate (see Rule 1.4) In the case of a competition hosting more one Division,~~ ranking points will be calculated against the corresponding Men's Kayak's event using the method described in section ~~1.10.6.2~~.

~~2.10.45.cb~~ In the case of a competition hosting more than one Division, where any one (or more) of the corresponding divisional events is inquorate the Veteran's modified score will be compared to MK1's scores for that division and to the corresponding quorate divisional events where available. The highest points found will be awarded.

For example: A Division 2/3 race hosts a Division 3 WK1 event that is inquorate and a Division 2 WK1 event that is quorate. In this case a WK1 Veteran's modified score will be compared with those of MK1 in Division 3 (using the method described in 1.10.2.) and her raw score with Division 2 WK1 (using the method described in ~~1.10.6.2~~). The highest score so found will be awarded.

**The remaining sections of 2.10 are to be renumbered appropriately.**



### 6.3 ICF Changes to the Rules relating to Buoyancy Jackets

*The UK rules on Buoyancy Jackets were different to the ICF rules, not the least to take account of the weight of the individual. The amended ICF rules will be applicable to anyone paddling internationally, and the GB Slalom Committee feel that they are now a better set of regulations than the current GB rules, so recommends adoption of the revised structure and contents.*

*The ICF Slalom Technical delegate is introducing additional rules after the 2021 Congress. These are Sports Rules so will be accepted by Congress with no debate. The changes are aimed at stopping the development of Buoyancy aids in the movement of flotation material from the body to the deck. Existing decks that have buoyancy in the deck, but do not have shoulder straps will become illegal, as the ability to use the shoulder straps is a safety issue no transition period will be available. Peak have been asked if it is possible to retrofit to make previous decks legal, but there is insufficient material in the original decks to make this viable.*

*The rule changes also put the onus on the paddler to understand the regulations for the use of the Buoyancy Jacket and to use it correctly.*

*The final part of these changes is to stop referring to Buoyancy Jackets and return to describing them as Buoyancy Aids (as we have always done).*

Page 58 (MS)

**Motion:** That the UK Slalom Rules be amended to follow the ICF rules as follows:

- 3.6 BUOYANCY AID-[SR]
- 3.6.1 Definition / description
- 3.6.1.a A buoyancy aid is a garment or device covering the upper trunk of the user like a vest which, when correctly worn and used in water, will provide the user with a specific amount of buoyancy which will increase the likelihood of survival.
- 3.6.1.b A buoyancy aid must comply with the current industry standard that is, ISO 12402-5 (Level 50) or any national standard that meets the same requirements.
- 3.6.1.c At all times the user of the buoyancy aid is responsible to respect the instructions and recommendations provided in the explanatory leaflet when purchased.
- 3.6.1.d A buoyancy aid must be ICF registered by 1 January in order to be authorised for use in ICF level 1, 2 & 3 competitions in that year. A buoyancy aid must be ICF registered by 1 January the year prior the Olympic Games in order to be authorised for use in Olympic Games.
- 3.6.1.e A buoyancy aid must rely only on inherently buoyant material (flotation foam) for its uplift. Inflating devices are not acceptable.
- 3.6.1.f A buoyancy aid must have flotation foam that cannot be removed on the upper torso front and back, above the waist. Minimum 20 mm thickness and with a minimum surface area of 400 cm<sup>2</sup> at the front and 400 cm<sup>2</sup> at the back for all sizes.
- 3.6.1.g A buoyancy aid may have an additional flotation foam that cannot be removed at the front below the waist. This additional foam must represent no more than 50 percent of the total flotation foam. This additional flotation foam must be part of the buoyancy aid and not detachable. This additional flotation foam may be linked to the spraydeck.
- 3.6.1.h A buoyancy aid must have shoulder straps to withstand lifting loads during rescue activities from either shoulder.
- 3.6.1.i To avoid the user slipping out during rescue activities a buoyancy aid must be designed with side straps on either side or a crotch strap.
- 3.6.1.j At a competition the buoyancy aid jacket's uplift will be tested using a 6.12 Kg calibrated stainless steel weight or its equivalent in other metals.
- 3.6.1.k The buoyancy aid manufacturer markings and identification must comply with the ISO standard (or equivalent national standard) to allow the control of equipment by ICF Officials.
- 3.6.1.l The rules contained in Article 3.6 apply to all sizes of buoyancy aid regardless of body weight.



## 6.4 Definitions of Judges

(75/25)

The UK Rules still refer to 'section judges' and 'gate judges'. Following an incident at a World Championships where a penalty was missed, and the section judge refused to accept an error, despite the section judges above and below agreeing with the penalty. The rules relating to section judges give them the duty to report the correct penalties from their sections. The ICF rules changed to have transmission judges (responsible for transmitting penalties) and gate judges with one judge being defined per gates as the primary judge. It is proposed that the UK rules are brought in line with the ICF rules. This will mean:

*Division 2 - 4* Currently, we have transmission / section and gate judge all in one person. This will not affect the practice. The rules will more closely reflect practice as there are in effect just gate judges and those on headsets. There is only a single gate judge on any gate, so they will be the primary for that gate.

*Division 1 & Prem* We can keep the current practice by defining that the Primary Judge is always the Transmission Judge. And using the existing Section judges to fill that role. As now Primary judge takes account of the views of the other gate judges, then transmits their penalty.

*Selection* We usually have section judges on both sides of the river. We can decide either to run as for Div1 / Prem or to decide which judge, on which side has the best view of a gate. Page 72 (CW)

### 7.3.5 (UK) The Section Judge

~~7.3.5.a The Section Judge is responsible for the portion of the course to which they are assigned.~~

~~7.3.5.b They are assisted by Gate Judges. It is their responsibility to ensure the correct penalty or the correct judgement is given on the gates. They must rely on adjacent Gate Judges observation, especially those who may be in a better position for a particular negotiation (better position may mean being closer or even more distant but on a better angle for each individual case).~~

~~7.3.5.c It is their duty to consider and record every gate to which they have a privileged position and/or have been assigned to.~~

~~7.3.5.d One Gate Judge shall be assigned to communicate the penalties to the competition office and to the public (transmission section judge). The Gate Judges should keep clear written documentation concerning each athlete.~~

~~7.3.5.e Section Judges should be encouraged to use their discretion in reporting the penalty they believe is correct, bearing in mind any penalty reported by the Gate Judge, rather than always reporting the highest penalty.~~

~~7.3.5.d The Section Judges observe the progress of the race in order to guarantee a fair run to all athletes.~~

~~7.3.5.e Section Judges are appointed for Premier and Division 1 Ranking Competitions, the British Junior Championships and the Veteran Championships.~~

~~7.3.5.f In exceptional circumstances where there are insufficient appointed Section Judges each gate must be judged by 2 people, one acting as Section Judge and one as Gate Judge~~

~~7.3.5.g Section Judges need not be appointed for Division 2 to 4 events.~~

### 7.3.6 (UK) The Gate Judge

~~7.3.5.a The Gate Judge is responsible for observing and recording an athlete's passage through their assigned gates.~~

~~7.3.6.b The Gate Judges observe the progress of the race in order to guarantee a fair run to all athletes. The Gate Judges should visibly signal to the judge in charge of transmission any penalties they intend to assign to an athlete.~~

NOTE that the numbering for ICF rules has changed, this will be introduced by the next motion (if accepted)

### 7.7 The Transmission Judge

[SR]



- 7.5. The Transmission Judge, who may also act as a Primary or Secondary Gate Judge, is responsible for the transmission of the final decision of the Primary Judge/s to the Scoring Office.
- 7.5.2 Normally the Transmission Judge will collate and transmit the results of a number of gates assigned for a Section.
- 7.5.3 The Transmission Judge signals the penalties for each gate with the corresponding discs, cards or section scoreboard.
- 7.8 – The Gate Judge [SR]
- 7.6.1 The Gate Judge is responsible for observing and recording an athlete’s passage through their assigned gates.
- 7.6.2 At the discretion of the Chief Judge, a Gate Judge may be assigned one (1) or more gates for which they will make the final decision of the athlete’s passage.
- 7.6.3 This Judge will be referred to as a Primary Judge and may also provide their own assessment of adjacent gates to the respective adjacent Primary Judge/s.
- 7.6.4 In arriving at the final decision the Primary Judge must also consider the adjacent Gate Judge’s observations, especially those who may be in a better position for a particular negotiation (better position may mean being closer or further away but on a better angle for each individual case).
- 7.6.5 The Primary Judge will assess each circumstance, make a decision and signal that decision to the Transmission Judge.
- 7.6.6 It is the duty of all Gate Judges to consider and record their own view of every gate to which they have been assigned.
- 7.6.7 It is not the role of the Transmission Judge to overrule any decision of a Primary Judge, rather to record, display and forward that decision to the Scoring Office.

Page 83

10.14.1\* Visible signalling discs or cards are normally used by the Gate Judges to communicate the penalties to the spectators and to the Transmission Section Judge.

## 6.5 Team Leaders’ Meetings (75/25)

*Rule 9.1 and 9.3 are only relevant to Inter Regional Competitions (no longer held) and Inter club competitions, which have their own set of additional requirements. As such they occupy space in the rule book and are not relevant to ranking competitions. Rather than update the rule, mark it as not applicable. Additionally 9.3 is inserted into the structure, meaning all following rules in Chapter 9 do not correspond numerically with the ICF Rules.* Page 75 CW

Mark Rule 9.1 as not applicable in the UK.

Remove UK Rule 9.3

## 6.6 Carry Points Forward for 2022

**Proposed by Manchester Canoe Club** **Seconded by Llandysul Canoe Club (MS)**

Due to the lack of racing over 2020/21 and the fact that some paddlers had to missed races due to isolation, all points accrued in 2021 will be kept into the 2022 season until the following dates (1 year after racing restarted in that division): Division 3 - 22nd May; Division 2 - 10th July; Division 1 - 21st August.

There could also be an argument due to race cancellations (particularly in div 2) and isolation once racing restarted that the points are kept on longer than these dates.

## 6.7 Slalom Committee Coordinator Roles 75/25

*As this is a change to the Terms of Reference (ToR) under 9.7 this requires a 2/3<sup>rd</sup> simple majority at the meeting and approval by the British Canoeing Board of Directors to be adopted.*



Under the current ToR, people are voted onto the slalom committee, and then after that the coordinator roles are decided and allocated. There are a number of factors to consider:

- It is harder to get committee nominees. Not many people will volunteer for a committee position with no idea what they may be asked to take on. If the responsibilities are identified in advance, potential nominees will have a better idea of what they are being asked to do.
- There is a better chance of getting a nominee that has expertise / an interest in the area. Less chance of a role being allocated to someone with no interest or expertise in the area.
- The committee is keen to see greater diversity amongst its members, linking in with the British Canoeing [Equality Diversity and Inclusion commitments](#) and will actively seek nominations to support this aim.

5.3.d and 5.3.e will also be switched in order to keep the list of members together rather than separated by a term referring to co-option. Page 202 (LF)

### 5.3 Committee Members

- a. Up to six committee members, each serving for approximately two years, with three members being elected at the ACM each year.
- b. A paddler representative elected every even year by a ballot of the **Ranked Members**
- c. A representative from each of the **National Association Slalom Committees**.
- ~~d.~~ A representative of **British Canoeing** Performance Department.
- ~~e.~~ The **British Canoeing Slalom Committee** may only co-opt additional members, until the next ACM, to fill casual vacancies.

~~Committee Members will be appointed to co-ordinate particular areas of the sport. Identification of priority areas and allocation of the roles will be undertaken at the first meeting of the British Canoeing Slalom Committee each year. British Canoeing may specify particular remits.~~

Committee Members will be appointed to co-ordinate particular areas of the sport. Identification of priority areas will be undertaken as part of reviews of the four-year plan and published before the ACM each year.

### 6.8 Veterans Trophies

At the 2019 ACM a motion relating to Veterans trophies being awarded by Premier / 1 and Division 2/3/4 points was withdrawn, with instructions to bring it back to the next ACM, with consideration for gender and class.

Using the two trophies that were proposed in 2019, it is proposed that these are presented to the top ranked Men's and Women's veterans. This is at odds with the original trophies, which were presented when veterans were ranked in two divisions, but is in line with current equality thinking. This will require some work to remove / change the current list of winners on the Alan Harwood trophy. Page 52 (MM)

### 2.6 .....

Veterans	<u>Top Veteran Woman</u>	The Alan Harwood Trophy
	<u>Top Veteran Man</u>	The Rasdex Trophy

### 6.9 Entry Fees inflation link (75/25)

The RPI is usually higher than CPI and so it is proposed that we use CPI only, removing the link to RPI in the rules. Page 66 (CW)

UK 6.2.6.c Minimum Entry Fees will be increased annually by the ~~lower of~~ the increase in **Retail Price Index (RPI) or the** Consumer Price Index (CPI) according to the formula: New fee = A + {A x [(B-C) / C]}, rounded to nearest 10p. Where

A = the entry fee for 2020  
 B = the index for September immediately prior to the relevant ACM  
 C = the index for September 2019



9.7.10 Send the appropriate levy to the Slalom Treasurer (address in directory). The levy is payable on every starter and will be increased annually by ~~the lower of the increase in Retail Price Index (RPI) or~~ the Consumer Price Index (CPI) according to the formula.

New fee = A + {A x [(B-C) / C]}, rounded to nearest 1p.

Where A = for Premier and Division 1 £7.51 for Division 2 £3.54 and for Division 3 and 4 £0.00

B = the index for September immediately prior to the relevant ACM

C = the index for September 2019.

## 6.10 Entry Fees

*A motion at the 2019 ACM (6.2) left instructions to the committee*

*An amendment was proposed to phase in the entry fees increases over a period of years but increasing for 2020 to Division 4 £6.00, Division 3 £8.00, Division 2 £10.00 and Prem / Division 1 £18.00 with matching increases in late fees and for the committee to propose further increases as appropriate to the ACM next year with the intent of increasing the fees over a period of years. Proposed by Proteus and seconded by Cheltenham. The amendment was adopted with 60 Votes for, 4 Votes against and 2 abstentions.*

*The original motion was to increase fees to Prem/1 £20.00 (£25.00 late) : 2 £15.00 (£20.00 late) 3 £10.00 (£11.30) 4 £8.00 (£8.00).*

*The Original increases have been repropose as time has moved on.*

*Page 66 (CW)*

**UK 6.2.6.c** Minimum Entry Fees will be increased annually by the lower of the increase in Retail Price Index (RPI) or the Consumer Price Index (CPI) according to the formula:  
New fee = A + {A x [(B-C) / C]}, rounded to nearest 10p. Where  
A = the entry fee for 2022  
B = the index for September immediately prior to the relevant ACM  
C = the index for September 2021.

Division & Category	Entry Fee	Late Entry Fee (when accepted)
Premier & First Division (all ages)	<del>£18.00</del> £20.00	<del>£23.00</del> £25.00
Division Two: (all ages)	<del>£10.00</del> £15.00	<del>£15.00</del> £20.00
Division Three: (all ages)	<del>£8.00</del> £10.00	<del>£9.30</del> £11.30
Division Four: (all ages)	<del>£6.00</del> £8.00	<del>N/A</del> £8.00

## 6.11 Protest Fees

*Protest Fees were originally increased from 50p to £1 in 1988, and have not been increased at division 2 and below since that time. At division 1 the protest fee was increased to £3 in 2011 and has not changed since that time. Division 1 protest fees were set to £5 in 2008. Selection event protest fees were set to £5 in 2006.*

*These amounts require either the organiser, or the paddler to have increasing amounts of change to support the protest process. This year, to reduce contact, protest fees have been put in an envelope, and depending on the result of the protest the original deposit, or change was returned. This process requires much less handling of currency and a reduced need for change and will probably be continued in the future. However, it is also suggested that the protest fees be increased.*

*Currently the protest fees are sent to the slalom committee. The Committee is keen not to be seen to benefit the slalom committee, and suggest the fees for failed protests should be issued to a pre designated charity.*

*Page 86 (AG)*

11.2.6 UK The Chief Judge or Enquiry Desk will accept a protest from upon receipt of a deposit ~~of £1. At any designated GB selection race, or Premier Division race, the protest form deposit will be £5. At any Division 1 race, the protest form deposit will be £3.~~ The deposit is returned if the protest is upheld. If the protest is not upheld, or the protest is withdrawn, the deposit is forfeited to the UK Slalom Committee.

**At Selection, Premier and Division 1 events the protest fee is £10.**



**At Division 2 and 3 events the protest fee is £5**

**At Division 4 events there is no fee to register a protest**

At Inter-Club or Inter-Regional events submitted by the Team Leader, deposit to be agreed at the Team Leaders meeting.

(UK) At the discretion of the Chief Judge, inquiries into matters of fact or technical errors may be dealt with as simple enquiries (with no fee).

## 6.12 Extreme Slalom Rules

75/25

The UK rules for Extreme Slalom adopted at the last physical ACM were a direct copy of the ICF Extreme Slalom Rules. For the 2021 season a changed set of rules were adopted, to reflect the fact that Extreme Slalom is now an Olympic discipline. These rules continue to develop with the aim of having stable international rules for 2 seasons before the Paris Olympics. As a result, there will be new proposals for the 2021 Congress. A draft of these changes will be circulated (2022 Extreme slalom rule change proposals - markup.pdf), some of the rules are still being developed.

There are two motions relating to these rules: (CW)

### 6.12.1 2021 Season Changes

To adopt the ICF Extreme Canoe Slalom Rules in place for the 2021 season. These rules are circulated in a separate document entitled “2021 Season Extreme Slalom Rule Changes”. Page 88

### 6.12.2 2022 Season Changes

To adopt the ICF Extreme Canoe Slalom Rule changes accepted at the 2021 ICF Congress Page 88

## 6.13 General ICF Rule Changes

(75/25)

There were some rule changes adopted by the ICF for the 2019 Rule book. In the midst of covid, these rule changes were not picked up and reviewed for adoption into the GB Rules. There are more changes being introduced for the 2022 season.

The majority of these changes are to clarify wording, or to make the terminology more universal (kayak roll instead of eskimo roll for example). As such these should be adopted in the interest of keeping the ICF and UK rules from drifting apart unnecessarily.

The rule changes are summarised below, and can be reviewed in detail in the document “2021 BC Rules”. (CW)

Bring the ICF and BC rules back in line as published. Summarized below

Old/new Rule No	Difference	Year
	Not relevant becomes not applicable	UK
Rules Structure	Slight change to wording of Type of Rule	2019
Glossary	Minor definition updates	2019
Abbreviations	change DQB def	2019
1.1 / 1.3	Renumbered UK section	2019
1.4 /	1.7.2 Renumbered	2019
1.5 / 1.10	Renumbered section	2019
- / 1.10	Anti Doping Rules added	2019
- / 1.14	Trademark Rules brought into rules	2019
3.1 / na	Moved to section 8, subsequent subsections renumbered	2019
3.2 / 3.1	Minor wording changes	2019
3.3 / 3.2	Change of Title	2019
3.6 / 3.5	Major Changes to BA rules (Separate motion)	2021
3.7.1 / 3.6.1	Registration needed for Internationals and World Cup	2019
3.7.3 / 3.6.3	Testing procedure Reintroduce to UK Rules	2019
3.7.4 / 3.6.4	Subsumed into 3.7.3	2019
3.7.5 / 3.6.4	Subsumed into 3.7.3	2019
3.8.1 / 3.7.1	After the finish checking, not at the finish	2019



Subsections	e.g. before 3.3 "II - Safety equipment".	2019
3.10.1 / 3.9.1	Advertising moved to guidelines in ICF Document	2019
3.10.5 to 3.10.8 / 3.9.5 to 3.9.8	Included in ICF Guidelines, so adopt that	2019
5	Reformed, record as UK Specific	2019
7.3 / 7.3 -	Officials' roles separated by Official	2019
10.19.2	The intent of the ICF rule is that the better ranked athlete is after the worse ranked. The translation from higher ICF ranked to higher bib was incorrect. Should be lower bib number (= higher ranked)	2019
11.2.1	Refers to Article 41 - no such article exists. ICF rule refers to appeal to ICF Directors. This process is not in the UK Rules so the last sentence is deleted.	
11.5 / 10.17	Renumbered rules	2019
11.6.8 / 1.12	Renumbering of clause to be standard across all ICF Rules	2019
General	Follow numbers as words with the number, e.g. two becomes two (2)	2021
DSQ-R	Renamed DSQ	2021
Global	Replace CSLX with Extreme Slalom	2021
Glossary	Remove CSLX as definition	2021
1.1.1	Renumbered 1.1.3	2021
Subsections of 1	Standardised across ICF Disciplines	2021
3.1	ICF Homologation moved and subsections renumbered	2021
4.1.2	Three letter abbreviations for Extreme Slalom	2021
6	ICF Rules reformatted, all UK rules so make specific and remove 6.1	2021
7	Sub headings made consistent	2021
7.3.3 / 7.3.4	Responsibility moved from Chief Judge to Chief Official (usually the same person in UK)	2021
8.3.11	Number boards can be 20 x 20 (preferred) or 30 x 30	2021
10.6.5	Add picture to assist in definition of half head	2021
10.15	Remove the specification of gate penalty signalling	2021
10.16	Bring DSQ rules to one place	2021
10.16.3	"Eskimo roll" replaced with "Roll"	2021
11.6	Renumbered 1.12.2	2021

#### 6.14 Online Managed Calendar

CW

The rules relating to the Managed Calendar (19) relate to a paper based system. The slalom Committee is requested to review these rules and amend them to reflect the online application system. Page 94 (CW)

#### 19.1. ~~D1.2~~ Calendar Returns

~~The calendar return is in two parts, part one for compilation of the calendar and part two for compilation of the year book.~~

~~Part one called SLALOM MANAGED CALENDAR RETURN is to be sent in as follows:~~

~~Division 1/Premier - by May 1st:~~

~~All other divisions - by July 1st.~~

~~Part two called SLALOM CALENDAR & YEARBOOK RETURN is to be sent in by the 15th December.~~



~~All returns are to be sent to the Managed Calendar Officer. If a clash occurs then attempts will be made to resolve it by negotiation. In the event of a dispute the matter will be resolved by the Slalom Committee.~~

Calendar returns must be made following the process described on [CanoeSlalomEntries.co.uk](http://CanoeSlalomEntries.co.uk). Clubs are reminded that a competition can not be accepted unless a Safety Plan is supplied.

## 7 Approval of the Calendar for 2022

Clubs are reminded that their competition cannot be accepted unless a Safety Plan has been supplied.

## 8 Discussion areas for 2022

There are key areas that the slalom community needs to move on over the next 12 months, through working groups with outputs fed through to the slalom committee. Proposed areas to take forward are:

- Volunteering
- Equality, diversity and inclusion / [#wePaddleTogether](https://twitter.com/wePaddleTogether)
- Increasing Participation

Volunteers for these groups will be sought both at the ACM and in the following months.

Please be aware that club votes will only be issued to the registered club representative on the most recent registration form. If a club wishes anyone else to exercise their vote, whether a club member or not, a proxy form **MUST** be completed. This can give the proxy holder full discretion to vote as they see fit but authorises the committee to give the papers to a different individual.

Electronic proxies (<https://bit.ly/SlalomProxy21>) must be completed by midnight 26<sup>th</sup> November. Paper proxies are not valid for this virtual meeting.