



BRITISH CANOEING

# Slalom Committee

## Eighteenth

*Annual Consultative Meeting*  
27<sup>th</sup> November 2021

## Minutes





## 1 Welcome by the Chair

### 1.1 Apologies

Apologies were received from Deborah Catty (outgoing Secretary), and Esther Matthews (Green Star).

### 1.2 Conduct of meeting

The chair opened the meeting by explaining the proposed method of working for the meeting. This included undertaking a role call for all votes that are not uncontested.

There were reported to be 29 people in the meeting, representing 21 Clubs and holding 53 Votes.

Colin Woodgate noted that motion 6.7 Slalom Committee Coordinator Roles is listed as being handled under the 75/25 agreement to be voted on immediately. But this motion affects the Terms of Reference it requires a 2/3rds simple majority then approval by the British Canoeing Board (9.7 page 205). Handling such motions under 75/25 is not appropriate so this will be debated before voting.

## 2 Minutes

### 2.1 17<sup>th</sup> Annual Consultative Meeting

The minutes of the 17<sup>th</sup> Annual Consultative Meeting were accepted. This was proposed by Proteus Canoe Club and seconded by Wydean Canoe club. The minutes were then accepted Nem Con.

### 2.2 2020/2021 Committee Meetings

Minutes of the 2020/2021 Committee meetings had been posted on line for information. There were no questions raised from those minutes.

## 3 Reports

### 3.1 Chair's Report

It goes without saying that 2021 has been a very difficult year in the shadow of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. During the spring and early summer we were forced to cancel almost all of our races, however from late summer into autumn we have been able to host races across all divisions. With "necessity being the mother of invention" we have discovered new ways to maintain our activities, find new ways to communicate, new ways to run safe competitions and activities. Some of these enforced changes we will keep, such as using WhatsApp, where possible, to communicate race information and post protests. The temporary promotion rules for Div1 and Div2 that were introduced to recognised exceptional performances will not be made permanent as they are no longer relevant as we move back to running a full calendar of competitions.

Although returning to racing has been warmly welcomed, competition entry numbers across all divisions have reduced significantly. In several clubs there are encouraging signs that taster sessions have attracted a significant number of potential new paddler and we hope that these will progress into the ranking systems and start to build up numbers in the lower divisions. The prospect of a full calendar of racing in 2022 may encourage paddlers who have not raced in 2021 to appear on a start list. Of course, we will not know the true damage to Slalom entry numbers wrought by COVID until late 2022 or even beyond. This is of deep concern to all of us here today and collectively we need to find ways to attract new entrants and encourage past competitors to race again.

The outlook for 2022 remains challenging, especially in the early part of the year. Although there is a full calendar planned for 2022, we need to be prepared for COVID mitigations to be required but, hopefully, lockdowns forcing race cancellations will not be necessary.

GB teams have been successful at International level and the GB Canoeing report speaks to the details. There are some shoutout performances; C1 Olympic Silver for Mallory, K1 World Bronze for Kimberley, C1 U23 World Gold and C1 European Silver for Bethan, K1 U23 European Silver for Johnny, Extreme World Gold for Joe and U23 Extreme World Gold and U23 Extreme European Silver for Nikita. Many others achieved finals and medals across World Cup and Championship races, and this bodes well on the journey to Paris in 2024.



Deborah Catty is leaving the committee and I would like to take this opportunity to thank her for the dedication and enthusiasm she has brought to the Secretary role. As I stated last year, I have decided that this will be my last “shift” as Chair and I will stand down at 2022 ACM. Andy Grudzinski has been elected Vice Chair and together we will work towards a smooth handover to a new Chair.

This is also the time of year that we think about those who are no longer with us and who were familiar faces at all the ACMs I attended. Both John Sturgess and Mike Carter dedicated immense amount of time and effort to the sport, and we are truly grateful for their dedication and contribution.

Finally, thank you to paddlers, volunteers, organisers, committee members and everyone who helps to support Canoe Slalom

### **3.2 Treasurer’s Report**

The 2019-20 accounts were not available to be presented last year, and in order to allow a true comparison, the accounts were presented showing figures for the past three years, rather than just two years as normal.

Due to the hiatus in Treasurer provision, there were Levies due to Canoe Wales from 2019 that had not been settled. There is an accrual in the 2019-2020 accounts that were cleared in this year, artificially inflating this year’s income.

There are some large amounts accrued this year for bills not received relating to International Support and water for the British Open, this is reflected in the large income figure for Special Events. If these are not allowed for, there is a surplus for this year, this would have been a deficit if the bills had been presented.

Expenses for both years were down, reflecting the reduced activity due to the pandemic.

The treasurer recorded his profound thanks to British Canoeing Finance department, without their assistance and direction the take on of the accounts would have been much harder, and probably less accurate.

Stafford and Stone proposed Acceptance of the minutes Manchester seconded the motion. This was carried Nem Con.

### **3.3 Other Reports**

#### *3.3.1 Reports from Co-ordinators*

Coordinator reports to the ACM were posted on line for review before the meeting. There were no questions raised from those reports.

Coaching (Les Ford), Digital & Data Strategy (Dee Lindesay), Participation (Karen Crowhurst), Safety / Competition Management (Peter Curry), Development (Mike Mitchell), Technical / Timing (Andy Grudzinski)

#### *3.3.2 British Canoeing and Home Nations (Tabled, reviewed en bloc)*

Where available Home Nations reports to the ACM were posted on line for review before the meeting. There were no questions raised from those reports

British Canoeing (Richard Ramsdale), England (Dave Spencer), Northern Ireland (Kiran Dews), Scotland (Fraser Glasgow), Wales (Mark Abbott)

#### *3.3.3 Athlete Representative (Martyn Setchell)*

An Athlete Representative report to the ACM would have repeated many of the reports earlier in the meeting. Martyn went on to thank Parents, coaches and volunteers for keeping the season going so well.

It was noted that there will be an election for an athlete representative in the new year. Nominations for the representative will need to be submitted to the Secretary before the 31<sup>st</sup> December.



## 4 Elections

### 4.1 Vice Chair

Andy Grudzinski was proposed and seconded by the Slalom Committee. In the absence of any other nominations, he was elected unopposed.

### 4.2 Secretary

There have been no nominations for this position.

In the absence of nominations it was proposed that Colin Woodgate revert to the role of Secretary. He was elected Nem Con.

#### 4.1 Treasurer

With Colin Woodgate taking on the Secretary role the Treasurer position is vacant. Veronica Milne has agreed to be co-opted to fill the position for the rest of the term, that is until the next ACM.

### 4.2 Committee Members

Dee Lindsay and Peter Curry were nominated for re-election by the Slalom Committee. Jason Humphries was nominated by Wydean and the Brecon. In the absence of any further nominations they were all elected nem con.

For information the **Term Expiring 2022** coordinators are: Les Ford (Coaching), Karen Crowhurst (Participation), Mike Mitchell (Development),

### 4.3 Other Officials (elected 'en bloc')

Managed Calendar Officer	<i>Nominations sought</i>		
Ranking Status Officer	Nick Penfold	Entry Cards Officer	Les Ford
<b>Ranking Officers</b>			
K1 Men Premier	Tracy Wells	C1 Men Premier & 1	Carole McGranachan
K1 Men Division 1	Nick Penfold	C1 Men Divisions 2 & 3	Nigel Evans
K1 Men Division 2	Craig Douglas	C1 Women Premier & 1	Sally Atkinson
K1 Men Division 3	Karen Crowhurst	C1 Women Divisions 2 & 3	Donna Hawkins
K1 Men Division 4	James Hastings	Canadian Doubles	Stuart Meakins
K1 Women Premier & 1	Sally Atkinson	Veterans All Categories	Paul Mew
K1 Women Divisions 2 & 3	Donna Hawkins	Officials Compiler	Amanda Woodgate
		Annual Prize Co-ordination	Fiona Pennie

These were proposed and seconded by the slalom committee and elected nem con.

## 5 Awards

### 5.1 Ed Ecclestone award

Nominees:

- Mike Mitchell
- Mark Abbott
- Mike Carter
- Sally Atkinson
- Dee Lindsay
- Duncan Berriman

The award is decided by the a subcommittee of the previous five winners able to take part.

The winner was announced as Mike Carter. Previously of Viking Kayak club.

### 5.2 National Ranking Prizes

Arrangement will be made separately for the winners to receive their prizes.

Thanks were offered to Fiona Pennie, who has taken on the responsibility of gathering, maintaining and making the National Prizes available for presentation.



## 6 Motions

### 6.1 Inquorate Division 4 Races

Page 69

6.6.3.a Promotion from ~~Quorate~~ Division 4 competitions (See 1.4)

All **Quorate** Events: 1 in 5 (or part thereof).

~~OR if their score is better than that of an athlete awarded more than 100 points in the corresponding division 3 event.~~

If an Event is not quorate, then athletes are promoted if their score would have gained promotion when compared to another quorate event as follows:

MC1 / KW1: if they would have been promoted in the MK1 event.

WC1: if they would have been promoted in either the WK1 or MK1 event.

**In all cases if the athletes score is better than that of an athlete awarded more than 100 points in the corresponding division 3 event they will be promoted.**

In all cases where two, or more, athletes are tied on best run scores for the last promotion place from Division 4 then all such athletes are promoted.

This was handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. It was accepted nem con.

### 6.2 Veterans Points

Page 54ff

An amendment to the motion was put by Wydean and Brecon Canoe Club. The amendment had the effect of changing the Difficulty Factor (2.10.3) to be 700 for Premier races, 400 at Division 1 and 150 at division 2.

This amendment was accepted nem con.

The amended motion then read:

2.9.1 The divisional system of five divisions (Premier, 1, 2, 3 and 4) in each of the four events (MK1, WK1, WC1 and MC1) and one division in the C2 event ensures that there is a standard of competition suitable for athletes of all degrees of ability. In addition, there is a Veterans **division in each of the four events (MK1, WK1, WC1 and MC1)** and a competition series for Officials.

...

#### 2.10.3 Difficulty factor

**To reflect the degree of difficult for Veterans racing in competitions hosting higher divisions, the points awarded will be increased by a difficulty factor. The points calculated under 2.10.3.b -c will be increased by:**

**- Division 2 event points calculated will be increased by 150 points**

**- Division 1 event points calculated will be increased by 400 points**

**- Premier event points calculated will be increased by 700 points**

#### 2.10.43 Calculating points – Quorate Divisional Event

2.10.43a ~~If a corresponding Divisional event is quorate (see Rule 1.4) the following calculations are applicable.~~ Ranking points are obtained by comparing scores with the corresponding divisional event. Where a Veteran's score does not correspond exactly with a score in the divisional event points will be awarded for the next place **higher lower**. If the Veteran's score matches the score of more than one athlete in the corresponding divisional event, then the points awarded to the higher placed divisional athlete will be used. If the above calculation fails to match to a host division score then the Veteran will be awarded points according to 2.10.4.d.

...

2.10.34.c3 In the case of a competition hosting more than one Division, scores will be compared with every Division in the same event and the highest points found will be awarded, for instance, at a Division 2/3 race, a WK1 Veteran's score will be compared with those of WK1 in both Division 2 and Division 3 and the higher score so found will be awarded.



**2.10.4.d Where a Veterans score is lower than any host division score the the Veteran will be awarded the points allocated the points below. No difficulty factor will be applied:**

▸ **Division 3 -10 points**

▸ **Division 2 -20 points**

▸ **Division 1 -30 points**

▸ **Premier -40 Points**

**2.10.45 Calculating points – Inquorate Divisional Event**

**2.10.45.a** ~~If the corresponding divisional event is inquorate (see Rule 1.4)~~ **In the case of a competition hosting more one Division,** ranking points will be calculated against the corresponding Men's Kayak's event using the method described in section ~~1.10.6.2~~.

**2.10.45.eb** In the case of a competition hosting more than one Division, where any one (or more) of the corresponding divisional events is inquorate the Veteran's modified score will be compared to MK1's scores for that division and to the corresponding quorate divisional events where available. The highest points found will be awarded.

For example: A Division 2/3 race hosts a Division 3 WK1 event that is inquorate and a Division 2 WK1 event that is quorate. In this case a WK1 Veteran's modified score will be compared with those of MK1 in Division 3 (using the method described in 1.10.2.) and her raw score with Division 2 WK1 (using the method described in ~~1.1.36.6.2~~). The highest score so found will be awarded.

**The remaining sections of 2.10 are to be renumbered appropriately.**

The amended motion was then voted on and was accepted. 38 votes for, 3 votes against and 12 abstentions

**6.3 ICF Changes to the Rules relating to Buoyancy Jackets**

Page 58

**Motion:** That the UK Slalom Rules be amended to follow the ICF rules as follows:

3.6 BUOYANCY AID-[SR]

3.6.1 Definition / description

3.6.1.a A buoyancy aid is a garment or device covering the upper trunk of the user like a vest which, when correctly worn and used in water, will provide the user with a specific amount of buoyancy which will increase the likelihood of survival.

3.6.1.b A buoyancy aid-must comply with the current industry standard that is, ISO 12402-5 (Level 50) or any national standard that meets the same requirements.

3.6.1.c At all times the user of the buoyancy aid is responsible to respect the instructions and recommendations provided in the explanatory leaflet when purchased.

3.6.1.d A buoyancy aid-must be ICF registered by 1 January in order to be authorised for use in ICF level 1, 2 & 3 competitions in that year. A buoyancy aid must be ICF registered by 1 January the year prior the Olympic Games in order to be authorised for use in Olympic Games.

3.6.1.e A buoyancy aid must rely only on inherently buoyant material (flotation foam) for its uplift. Inflating devices are not acceptable.

3.6.1.f A buoyancy aid must have flotation foam that cannot be removed on the upper torso front and back, above the waist. Minimum 20 mm thickness and with a minimum surface area of 400 cm<sup>2</sup> at the front and 400 cm<sup>2</sup> at the back for all sizes.

3.6.1.g A buoyancy aid may have an additional flotation foam that cannot be removed at the front below the waist. This additional foam must represent no more than 50 percent of the total flotation foam. This additional flotation foam must be part of the buoyancy aid and not detachable. This additional flotation foam may be linked to the spraydeck.

3.6.1.h A buoyancy aid must have shoulder straps to withstand lifting loads during rescue activities from either shoulder.

3.6.1.i To avoid the user slipping out during rescue activities a buoyancy aid must be designed with side straps on either side or a crotch strap.

3.6.1.j At a competition the buoyancy aid-jacket's uplift will be tested using a 6.12 Kg calibrated stainless steel weight or its equivalent in other metals.



- 3.6.1.k The buoyancy aid-manufacturer markings and identification must comply with the ISO standard (or equivalent national standard) to allow the control of equipment by ICF Officials.
- 3.6.1.l The rules contained in Article 3.6 apply to all sizes of buoyancy aid-regardless of body weight.

Following a lively debate an amendment was tabled by Proteus Canoe Club seconded by Halifax Canoe club

Rules 3.6.1.d to 3.6.1.i will be marked as not applicable in the UK.

This was adopted nem con.

The substantive motion was then voted on and adopted Nem Con

## 6.4 Definitions of Judges

Page 72

### 7.3.5 (UK) The Section Judge

~~7.3.5.a~~ The Section Judge is responsible for the portion of the course to which they are assigned.

~~7.3.5.b~~ They are assisted by Gate Judges. It is their responsibility to ensure the correct penalty or the correct judgement is given on the gates. They must rely on adjacent Gate Judges observation; especially those who may be in a better position for a particular negotiation (better position may mean being closer or even more distant but on a better angle for each individual case).

~~7.3.5.c~~ It is their duty to consider and record every gate to which they have a privileged position and/or have been assigned to.

~~7.3.5.d~~ One Gate Judge shall be assigned to communicate the penalties to the competition office and to the public (transmission section judge). The Gate Judges should keep clear written documentation concerning each athlete.

~~7.3.5.e~~ Section Judges should be encouraged to use their discretion in reporting the penalty they believe is correct, bearing in mind any penalty reported by the Gate Judge, rather than always reporting the highest penalty.

~~7.3.5.d~~ The Section Judges observe the progress of the race in order to guarantee a fair run to all athletes.

~~7.3.5.e~~ Section Judges are appointed for Premier and Division 1 Ranking Competitions, the British Junior Championships and the Veteran Championships.

~~7.3.5.f~~ In exceptional circumstances where there are insufficient appointed Section Judges each gate must be judged by 2 people, one acting as Section Judge and one as Gate Judge

~~7.3.5.g~~ Section Judges need not be appointed for Division 2 to 4 events.

### 7.3.6 (UK) The Gate Judge

~~7.3.5.a~~ The **Gate Judge** is responsible for observing and recording an athlete's passage through their assigned gates.

~~7.3.6.b~~ The Gate Judges observe the progress of the race in order to guarantee a fair run to all athletes. The Gate Judges should visibly signal to the judge in charge of transmission any penalties they intend to assign to an athlete.

*NOTE that the numbering for ICF rules has changed, this will be introduced by the next motion (if accepted)*

## 7.7 The Transmission Judge [SR]

**7.5. The Transmission Judge, who may also act as a Primary or Secondary Gate Judge, is responsible for the transmission of the final decision of the Primary Judge/s to the Scoring Office.**

**7.5.2 Normally the Transmission Judge will collate and transmit the results of a number of gates assigned for a Section.**

**7.5.3 The Transmission Judge signals the penalties for each gate with the corresponding discs, cards or section scoreboard.**

## 7.8 – The Gate Judge [SR]



- 7.6.1** The Gate Judge is responsible for observing and recording an athlete's passage through their assigned gates.
- 7.6.2** At the discretion of the Chief Judge, a Gate Judge may be assigned one (1) or more gates for which they will make the final decision of the athlete's passage.
- 7.6.3** This Judge will be referred to as a Primary Judge and may also provide their own assessment of adjacent gates to the respective adjacent Primary Judge/s.
- 7.6.4** In arriving at the final decision the Primary Judge must also consider the adjacent Gate Judge's observations, especially those who may be in a better position for a particular negotiation (better position may mean being closer or further away but on a better angle for each individual case).
- 7.6.5** The Primary Judge will assess each circumstance, make a decision and signal that decision to the Transmission Judge.
- 7.6.6** It is the duty of all Gate Judges to consider and record their own view of every gate to which they have been assigned.
- 7.6.7** It is not the role of the Transmission Judge to overrule any decision of a Primary Judge, rather to record, display and forward that decision to the Scoring Office.

*Page 83*

10.14.1\*Visible signalling discs or cards are normally used by the Gate Judges to communicate the penalties to the spectators and to the **Transmission Section** Judge.

This was handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. It was accepted nem con.

## **6.5 Team Leaders' Meetings**

*Page 75*

Mark Rule 9.1 as not applicable in the UK.  
Remove UK Rule 9.3

This was handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. It was accepted nem con.

## **6.6 Carry Points Forward for 2022**

**Proposed by Manchester Canoe Club**

**Seconded by Llandysul Canoe Club (MS)**

Due to the lack of racing over 2020/21 and the fact that some paddlers had to missed races due to isolation, all points accrued in 2021 will be kept into the 2022 season until the following dates (1 year after racing restarted in that division): Division 3 - 22nd May; Division 2 - 10th July; Division 1 - 21st August.

There could also be an argument due to race cancellations (particularly in div 2) and isolation once racing restarted that the points are kept on longer than these dates.

There was a wide ranging discussion on this motion, followed by a vote. It was rejected 8 votes for, 44 votes against and 1 abstention.



## 6.7 Slalom Committee Coordinator Roles

### 5.3 Committee Members

- a. Up to six committee members, each serving for approximately two years, with three members being elected at the ACM each year.
- b. A paddler representative elected every even year by a ballot of the **Ranked Members**
- c. A representative from each of the **National Association Slalom Committees**.
- ed.** A representative of **British Canoeing** Performance Department.
- de.** The **British Canoeing Slalom Committee** may only co-opt additional members, until the next ACM, to fill casual vacancies.

~~Committee Members will be appointed to co-ordinate particular areas of the sport. Identification of priority areas and allocation of the roles will be undertaken at the first meeting of the British Canoeing Slalom Committee each year. British Canoeing may specify particular remits.~~

Committee Members will be appointed to co-ordinate particular areas of the sport. Identification of priority areas will be undertaken as part of reviews of the four-year plan and published before the ACM each year.

This motion affects the Terms of Reference it required a 2/3rds simple majority then approval by the British Canoeing Board (9.7 page 205)

The motion was accepted nem con.

## 6.8 Veterans Trophies

2.6 .....

Veterans	<u>Top Veteran Woman</u>	<u>The Alan Harwood Trophy</u>
	<u>Top Veteran Man</u>	The Rasdex Trophy

This was handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. It was accepted nem con.

## 6.9 Entry Fees inflation link

UK 6.2.6.c Minimum Entry Fees will be increased annually by the ~~lower of the~~ increase in ~~Retail Price Index (RPI) or the~~ Consumer Price Index (CPI) according to the formula: New fee = A + {A x [(B-C) / C]}, rounded to nearest 10p. Where

A = the entry fee for 2020

B = the index for September immediately prior to the relevant ACM

C = the index for September 2019

**9.7.10** Send the appropriate levy to the Slalom Treasurer (address in directory). The levy is payable on every starter and will be increased annually by ~~the lower of the increase in Retail Price Index (RPI) or~~ the Consumer Price Index (CPI) according to the formula.

New fee = A + {A x [(B-C) / C]}, rounded to nearest 1p.

Where A = for Premier and Division 1 £7.51 for Division 2 £3.54 and for Division 3 and 4 £0.00

B = the index for September immediately prior to the relevant ACM

C = the index for September 2019.

This was handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. It was accepted nem con.



## 6.10 Entry Fees

**UK 6.2.6.c** Minimum Entry Fees will be increased annually by the lower of the increase in Retail Price Index (RPI) or the Consumer Price Index (CPI) according to the formula:  
New fee = A + {A x [(B-C) / C]}, rounded to nearest 10p. Where  
A = the entry fee for 202022  
B = the index for September immediately prior to the relevant ACM  
C = the index for September 201921.

Division & Category	Page 118	
Competition	Entry Fee	Late Entry Fee (when accepted)
Premier & First Division (all ages)	<del>£18.00</del> £20.00	<del>£23.00</del> £25.00
Division Two: (all ages)	<del>£10.00</del> £15.00	<del>£15.00</del> £20.00
Division Three: (all ages)	<del>£8.00</del> £10.00	<del>£9.30</del> £11.30
Division Four: (all ages)	<del>£6.00</del> £8.00	N/A £8.00

There was a wide ranging discussion, including why there is a minimum entry fee at the lower levels, whether the fee should be higher at Premier / division 1 level and whether we should keep the fees lower and encourage the use of enhanced fees.

It was noted that the entry fee is a very small part of the costs of competing in the sport, considering the costs of travel, accommodation and equipment.

Following the discussion the entry fee for each division was voted on separately from a range of proposals.

Premier/Division 1 – An increase was accepted nem con, an increase to £25 received 5 votes, and an increase to £20 received 48 votes with 1 abstention

Division 2 increase was accepted nem con, with £13 rather than £15 nem con

Division 3 will remain at £8 (nem con)

Division 4 there will be no increase (nem con).

The resulting entry fees will be:

Division	Page 118	
Division	Entry Fee	Late Entry Fee (when accepted)
Premier & First Division	£20.00	£25.00
Division Two	£13.00	£18.00
Division Three	£8.00	£9.30
Division Four:	£6.00	£6.00

## 6.11 Protest Fees

11.2.6 UK The Chief Judge or Enquiry Desk will accept a protest from upon receipt of a deposit of ~~£1~~. **At any designated GB selection race, or Premier Division race, the protest form deposit will be £5. At any Division 1 race, the protest form deposit will be £3.** The deposit is returned if the protest is upheld. If the protest is not upheld, or the protest is withdrawn, **and shall be donated to a pre-designated charity of the Organiser's choosing. The amount of the donation shall be recorded on the summary sheets sent to the Slalom Treasurer pursuant to rule 19.7.9. the deposit is forfeited to the UK Slalom Committee.**

**At Selection, Premier and Division 1 events the protest fee is £10.**

**At Division 2 and 3 events the protest fee is £5**

**At Division 4 events there is no fee to register a protest**

At Inter-Club or Inter-Regional events submitted by the Team Leader, deposit to be agreed at the Team Leaders meeting.

(UK) At the discretion of the Chief Judge, inquiries into matters of fact or technical errors may be dealt with as simple enquiries (with no fee).

The change to donate the protest fee to a charity was accepted nem con.

An amendment was put proposed by Proteus and seconded by CR Cats accepted to as a result Premium Division £10, Division 1 and Division 2 races £5 and division 3 £3. This was accepted 46 for 5 against with 3 abstentions



A further amendment was put by Cheltenham, seconded by Viking such that Premium Division £10, Division 1 races £5 and Division 2 and Division 3 £3. This was rejected 9 votes for 40 votes against with 4 abstentions

The substantive motion was then

11.2.6 UK The Chief Judge or Enquiry Desk will accept a protest from upon receipt of a deposit of ~~£1. At any designated GB selection race, or Premier Division race, the protest form deposit will be £5. At any Division 1 race, the protest form deposit will be £3.~~ The deposit is returned if the protest is upheld. If the protest is not upheld, or the protest is withdrawn, **and shall be donated to a pre-designated charity of the Organiser's choosing. The amount of the donation shall be recorded on the summary sheets sent to the Slalom Treasurer pursuant to rule 19.7.9. the deposit is forfeited to the UK Slalom Committee.**

**At Selection, and Premier events the protest fee is £10.**

**At Division 1 and 2 events the protest fee is £5**

**At Division 3 events the protest fee is £3**

**At Division 4 events there is no fee to register a protest**

At Inter-Club or Inter-Regional events submitted by the Team Leader, deposit to be agreed at the Team Leaders meeting.

(UK) At the discretion of the Chief Judge, inquiries into matters of fact or technical errors may be dealt with as simple enquiries (with no fee).

This was then accepted Nem Con.

## 6.12 Extreme Slalom Rules

### 6.12.1 2021 Season Changes

To adopt the ICF Extreme Canoe Slalom Rules in place for the 2021 season. These rules are circulated in a separate document entitled "2021 Season Extreme Slalom Rule Changes". Page 88

This was handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. It was accepted nem con.

### 6.12.2 2022 Season Changes

To adopt the ICF Extreme Canoe Slalom Rule changes accepted at the 2021 ICF Congress Page 88

This was handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. It was accepted nem con

## 6.13 General ICF Rule Changes

Bring the ICF and BC rules back in line as published. Summarized below		
Old/new Rule No	Difference	Year
	Not relevant becomes not applicable	UK
Rules Structure	Slight change to wording of Type of Rule	2019
Glossary	Minor definition updates	2019
Abbreviations	change DQB def	2019
1.1 / 1.3	Renumbered UK section	2019
1.4 /	1.7.2 Renumbered	2019
1.5 / 1.10	Renumbered section	2019
- / 1.10	Anti Doping Rules added	2019
- / 1.14	Trademark Rules brought into rules	2019
3.1 / na	Moved to section 8, subsequent subsections renumbered	2019
3.2 / 3.1	Minor wording changes	2019
3.3 / 3.2	Change of Title	2019
3.6 / 3.5	Major Changes to BA rules (Separate motion)	2021
3.7.1 / 3.6.1	Registration needed for Internationals and World Cup	2019
3.7.3 / 3.6.3	Testing procedure Reintroduce to UK Rules	2019



Minutes from the 18<sup>th</sup> Annual Consultative Meeting  
By Virtual Meeting (Zoom), 27<sup>th</sup> November 2021



3.7.4 / 3.6.4	Subsumed into 3.7.3	2019
3.7.5 / 3.6.4	Subsumed into 3.7.3	2019
3.8.1 / 3.7.1	After the finish checking, not at the finish	2019
Subsections	e.g. before 3.3 "II - Safety equipment".	2019
3.10.1 / 3.9.1	Advertising moved to guidelines in ICF Document	2019
3.10.5 to 3.10.8 / 3.9.5 to 3.9.8	Included in ICF Guidelines, so adopt that	2019
5	Reformed, record as UK Specific	2019
7.3 / 7.3 -	Officials' roles separated by Official	2019
10.19.2	The intent of the ICF rule is that the better ranked athlete is after the worse ranked. The translation from higher ICF ranked to higher bib was incorrect. Should be lower bib number (= higher ranked)	2019
11.2.1	Refers to Article 41 - no such article exists. ICF rule refers to appeal to ICF Directors. This process is not in the UK Rules so the last sentence is deleted.	
11.5 / 10.17	Renumbered rules	2019
11.6.8 / 1.12	Renumbering of clause to be standard across all ICF Rules	2019
General	Follow numbers as words with the number, e.g. two becomes two (2)	2021
DSQ-R	Renamed DSQ	2021
Global	Replace CSLX with Extreme Slalom	2021
Glossary	Remove CSLX as definition	2021
1.1.1	Renumbered 1.1.3	2021
Subsections of 1	Standardised across ICF Disciplines	2021
3.1	ICF Homologation moved and subsections renumbered	2021
4.1.2	Three letter abbreviations for Extreme Slalom	2021
6	ICF Rules reformatted, all UK rules so make specific and remove 6.1	2021
7	Sub headings made consistent	2021
7.3.3 / 7.3.4	Responsibility moved from Chief Judge to Chief Official (usually the same person in UK)	2021
8.3.11	Number boards can be 20 x 20 (preferred) or 30 x 30	2021
10.6.5	Add picture to assist in definition of half head	2021
10.15	Remove the specification of gate penalty signalling	2021
10.16	Bring DSQ rules to one place	2021
10.16.3	"Eskimo roll" replaced with "Roll"	2021
11.6	Renumbered 1.12.2	2021

This was handled under Terms of Reference 9.8 (Page 205) and voted on immediately. It was accepted nem con.



## 6.14 Online Managed Calendar

*The rules relating to the Managed Calendar (19) relate to a paper based system. The slalom Committee is requested to review these rules and amend them to reflect the online application system. .... Page 94 (CW)*

### 19.1. ~~D1.2~~ Calendar Returns

~~The calendar return is in two parts; part one for compilation of the calendar and part two for compilation of the year book.~~

~~Part one called SLALOM MANAGED CALENDAR RETURN is to be sent in as follows:~~

~~Division 1/Premier – by May 1st.~~

~~All other divisions – by July 1st.~~

~~Part two called SLALOM CALENDAR & YEARBOOK RETURN is to be sent in by the 15th December.~~

~~All returns are to be sent to the Managed Calendar Officer. If a clash occurs then attempts will be made to resolve it by negotiation. In the event of a dispute the matter will be resolved by the Slalom Committee.~~

Calendar returns must be made following the process described on [CanoeSlalomEntries.co.uk](http://CanoeSlalomEntries.co.uk). Clubs are reminded that a competition can not be accepted unless a Safety Plan is supplied.

Following a short discussion this motion was voted on it was accepted nem con.

## 7 Approval of the Calendar for 2022

Clubs were reminded that their competition cannot be accepted unless a Safety Plan has been supplied.

A number of issues were identified that need to be resolved before the calendar can be finalised. Subject to those changes, the calendar was accepted nem con.

## 8 Discussion areas for 2022

There are key areas that the slalom community needs to move on over the next 12 months, through working groups with outputs fed through to the slalom committee. Proposed areas to take forward are:

- Volunteering
- Equality, diversity and inclusion / #wepaddletogether
- Increasing Participation

Volunteers for these groups are sought. The lead committee member responsible for each area will be identified at the next committee meeting and published on line both at the ACM and in the following months.

## 9 Meeting Close

The Chair's closing remarks thanked all for their continued interest and concentration, hoping for a more normal season next year.

Any comments relating to the format of the ACM should be sent to the Secretary to help inform decisions about the ACM format for the 2022 meeting.

The meeting closed at 15:09